

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,293 07/29/2003		07/29/2003	Phillip A. Montague	048674-0295	6448
26371	7590	10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY &	LARDNI	ER	SMITH, JAMES G		
777 EAST SUITE 380		IN AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUK	EE, WI	53202-5308	3723		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	10/629,293	MONTAGUE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James G. Smith	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 13 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,6-12 and 14-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 13 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) 🗆 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 3, 4, 6-12 and 14-20 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the response of 03 May 2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Both claims require that there be a single spring that will bias the handles open when the tool is in the unfolded position and closed when the tool is in the folded condition, however the specification clearly states that the spring, alone, can only bias the jaws, and therefore the handles, in the open position. It is the combination of the spring and the spring arms and cam surfaces of the jaws and handles that work in conjunction with each other to allow the handles to be biased

Application/Control Number: 10/629,293 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

closed when the tool is in the folded condition. Neither claims 1 nor 2 have any limitation to the spring arms and cams, thus the claimed function is not enabled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 13 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Rivera, Chang or Berg et. al. in view of either Lin or Chen.

Any of Rivera, Chang or Berg et. al. shows the claimed invention except for the use of a two piece handle with the pieces secured by means of a tongue and groove construction. Either Lin or Chen suggests that a handle can be made in two pieces secured by a tongue and groove construction to allow for easier assembly of the handle onto the device to which it is to be secured. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify any of Rivera, Chang or Berg et. al. by using a two piece handle secured by means of a tongue and groove construction because either Lin or Chen suggests the use of such a construction in the making of handles to allow for easier assembly of the handle onto the device to which it is to be secured.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,293 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed 23 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The remarks with respect to the rejection under the first paragraph of section 112 are noted and they would apply to claim 5, which is now objected to. They do not apply to claims 1 and 2 as the spring alone cannot function as claimed to apply a closing bias to the handles when the tool is in the folded condition. Without the spring arms and cams, the spring (66) will continue to push the jaws and tangs apart and thus push the handles apart.

With respect to amended claim 13, clearly both Lin and Chen suggest that handles can be made in two sections and that the sections can then be joined, by means of a tongue and groove assembly, to form a one piece handle. To make a unitary element, i.e. a handle, into two pieces and then join the pieces to form an integral element is clearly an obvious modification to one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

8. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3723

§ 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,293

Art Unit: 3723

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 10/19/04